

KAREN M. WAHLE (S.B. #440517)  
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
1625 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20006-4001  
Telephone: (202) 383-5300  
Facsimile: (202) 383-5414

GEOFFREY WHITE (SB#068012)  
LAW OFFICES OF GEOFFREY V. WHITE  
351 California Street, Suite 1500  
San Francisco, CA 94104-2407  
Telephone: (415) 362-5658  
Facsimile: (415) 362-4115

CHRIS A. HOLLINGER (S.B. #147637)  
LARRY B. GARRETT (S.B. # 225192)  
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
Embarcadero Center West  
275 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-3305  
Telephone: (415) 984-8700  
Facsimile: (415) 984-8701

TERESA S. RENAHER (SB#187800)  
LEWIS, FEINBERG, RENAHER &  
JACKSON  
1330 Broadway, Suite 1800  
Oakland, CA 94612-2509  
Telephone: (510) 839-6824  
Facsimile: (510) 893-7839

Attorneys for Defendants  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, THE LONG-  
TERM DISABILITY INCOME PLAN FOR  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON & AFFILIATED  
COMPANIES, AND THE JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON PENSION COMMITTEE

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
DARRYL S. MAHONEY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DARRYL S. MAHONEY,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.

Case No. C04-03679 MJJ

**JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL  
[FED. R. CIV.P.41(a)(1)(ii)]**

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New Jersey  
Corporation; THE LONG-TERM  
DISABILITY INCOME PLAN FOR  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON & AFFILIATED  
COMPANIES; and the JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON PENSION COMMITTEE,  
  
Defendants.

**STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL**

WHEREAS, the parties agreed to settle the above-stated action through Court-sponsored private mediation on October 6, 2005, before the impartial mediator Judge Edward Infante;

WHEREAS, as part of the settlement, Plaintiff agreed to dismiss her individual claims against Defendants with prejudice;

1 WHEREAS, the First Amended Complaint in this matter pleads a proposed class  
2 action;

3 WHEREAS, the parties have settled this case without compromising the rights of  
4 the unnamed putative class members;

5 WHEREAS, the parties believe that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), and the  
6 Advisory Committee Note to the December 2003 revision to that rule, direct that settlement of a  
7 putative class action prior to certification of a class does not require Court approval; and

8 WHEREAS, if the Court were to so order, the parties will submit their settlement  
9 for review by the Court, but, in such event, the parties request that they first be allowed to make  
10 submissions to the Court as to whether such review should be *in camera* or otherwise;

11 NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate to the following:

12 1. Plaintiff's individual claims shall be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to  
13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(ii); and

14 2. The claims of any unnamed putative class members shall be dismissed  
15 without prejudice.

3. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

Dated: November 7, 2005.

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
CHRIS A. HOLLINGER  
KAREN M. WAHLE  
LARRY B. GARRETT

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/

Chris A. Hollinger  
Attorneys for Defendants

Dated: November 7, 2005.

LAW OFFICES OF GEOFFREY V. WHITE  
GEOFFREY V. WHITE

LEWIS, FEINBERG, RENAHER & JACKSON  
TERESA S. RENAHER

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/<sup>1</sup>

Geoffrey V. White  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SF1:607226.1



Dated: 11/08/05

<sup>1</sup> Counsel e-filing this Stipulation represents that he has been authorized by Plaintiff's counsel to e-file on both parties' behalf.